

Systems and Solving Techniques for Knowledge Representation – Disjunctive Logic Programs –

Marco Maratea
University of Genoa, Italy

066 011 Double degree programme Computational Logic
(Erasmus-Mundus)

066 931 Computational Intelligence

066 937 Software Engineering & Internet Computing
Institute of Information Systems

ASP Road map

ASP:

Datalog ← done!

+ Default negation ← done!

+ Disjunction

+ Integrity Constraints

+ Weak Constraints

+ Aggregate atoms

+ ... and more

Disjunctive Logic Programs Syntax

Rule: $(r) \quad \underbrace{a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n}_{\text{head}} \text{ :- } \underbrace{b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m}_{\text{body}}.$

Atoms, and Literals: $a_i, b_i, \text{not } b_i$

Head of r : $H(r) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$

Body of r : $B(r) = B^+(r) \cup B^-(r)$

Positive Body: $B^+(r) = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$

Negative Body: $B^-(r) = \{\text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m.\}$

Variables: as in Datalog, begin with uppercase letter

Safety: Variables must occur in the positive body

Fact: Rule with empty body

Constraint: Rule with empty head

Disjunctive Logic Programs Syntax

Rule: $(r) \quad \underbrace{a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n}_{\text{head}} \text{ :- } \underbrace{b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m}_{\text{body}}.$

Atoms, and Literals: $a_i, b_i, \text{not } b_i$

Head of r : $H(r) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$

Body of r : $B(r) = B^+(r) \cup B^-(r)$

Positive Body: $B^+(r) = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$

Negative Body: $B^-(r) = \{\text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m\}$

Variables: as in Datalog, begin with uppercase letter

Safety: Variables must occur in the positive body

Fact: Rule with empty body

Constraint: Rule with empty head

Examples of Rules

Example (Disjunction)

% Disjunctive knowledge: “A parent P is either a father
% or a mother”

mother(P, S) | father(P, S) :- parent(P, S).

Example (Negation, Constraints)

% Default Negation: “Check if an undirected graph
% is not connected”

*disconnected :- node(X), node(Y),
not reachable(X, Y).*

% Constraints: “Admit only connected graphs.”

:- disconnected.

Arithmetic Expressions and Builtins

Arithmetic and comparison operators

- $<$, $>$, $<=$, $>=$, $=$
- $+$, $-$, $*$, $/$

Example (Fibonacci numbers)

fib(0, 1).

fib(1, 1).

fib($N + 2$, $Y1 + Y2$) :- *fib*(N , $Y1$), *fib*($N + 1$, $Y2$).

For recursive definitions an upper bound for integers (system setting) or a domain has to be specified.

Informal Semantics (1)

Disjunctive Rule:

$$a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n \text{ :- } b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{ not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{ not } b_m.$$

Informal Semantics:

“If all b_1, \dots, b_k are true and all b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m are not true, then at least one among a_1, \dots, a_n is true”.

Example

isInterestedinASP(john) | isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).
attendsASP(john).

Two (minimal) models encoding two plausible scenarios:

- $M_1: \{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john).\}$
- $M_2: \{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john).\}$

Informal Semantics (1)

Disjunctive Rule:

$$a_1 \mid \dots \mid a_n \text{ :- } b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{ not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{ not } b_m.$$

Informal Semantics:

“If all b_1, \dots, b_k are true and all b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m are not true, then at least one among a_1, \dots, a_n is true”.

Example

isInterestedinASP(john) | isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).
attendsASP(john).

Two (minimal) models encoding two plausible scenarios:

- $M_1: \{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john).\}$
- $M_2: \{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john).\}$

Informal Semantics (2)

Constraint:

$$:- b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m.$$

Informal Semantics:

“It is not possible that all b_1, \dots, b_k are true, and all b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m are false”.

Example

isInterestedinASP(john) | isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).
:- isNotConvincedinASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).
attendsASP(john). isNotConvincedinASP(john).

Only one plausible scenario:

- $M_1: \{ \textit{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john).} \}$
- $M_2: \{ \textit{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isNotC.(john).} \}$

Informal Semantics (2)

Constraint:

$$\text{:- } b_1, \dots, b_k, \text{not } b_{k+1}, \dots, \text{not } b_m.$$

Informal Semantics:

“It is not possible that all b_1, \dots, b_k are true, and all b_{k+1}, \dots, b_m are false”.

Example

isInterestedinASP(john) | isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).
:- isNotConvincedinASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).
attendsASP(john). isNotConvincedinASP(john).

Only one plausible scenario:

- $M_1: \{ \textit{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john)}. \}$
- $M_2: \{ \textit{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isNotC.(john)}. \}$

Informal Semantics (3)

Semantics of disjunction is:

- Minimal

$$a|b|c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}$$

- Actually subset minimal

$$a|b.$$

$$a|c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b, c\}$$

- ...but *not exclusive*

$$a|b.$$

$$a|c.$$

$$b|c. \Rightarrow \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$$

Informal Semantics (3)

Semantics of disjunction is:

- Minimal

$$a | b | c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}$$

- Actually subset minimal

$$a | b.$$

$$a | c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b, c\}$$

- ...but *not exclusive*

$$a | b.$$

$$a | c.$$

$$b | c. \Rightarrow \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$$

Informal Semantics (3)

Semantics of disjunction is:

- Minimal

$$a | b | c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}$$

- Actually subset minimal

$$a | b.$$

$$a | c. \Rightarrow \{a\}, \{b, c\}$$

- ...but *not exclusive*

$$a | b.$$

$$a | c.$$

$$b | c. \Rightarrow \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$$

Informal Semantics (4)

- Disjunctive rules “generate models”

$a \mid b.$

$a \mid c.$

$b \mid c.$

$\Rightarrow \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$

- Integrity constraints “discard” unwanted models:

% Add:

$\text{:- } a, \text{not } b.$

$\Rightarrow \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}$

Formal Semantics: Roadmap

- 1 Instantiation
- 2 Positive (Ground) Programs
- 3 Negative Programs
 - via Gelfong & Lifschitz Reduct
 - Positive Programs

Formal Semantics: Program Instantiation

Herbrand Universe (U_P): Set of constants occurring in program P

Herbrand Base (B_P): Set of ground atoms constructible from U_P , $pred(P)$

Ground instance of a Rule: Replace each variable in r by constants in U_P

Instantiation ground(P): Set of all ground instances of the rules of P

Example (Ground Instantiation)

Consider:

isInterestedinASP(X) | isCurious(X) :- attendsASP(X).
attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).

Formal Semantics: Program Instantiation

Herbrand Universe (U_P): Set of constants occurring in program P

Herbrand Base (B_P): Set of ground atoms constructible from U_P , $pred(P)$

Ground instance of a Rule: Replace each variable in r by constants in U_P

Instantiation ground(P): Set of all ground instances of the rules of P

Example (Ground Instantiation)

Consider:

isInterestedinASP(X) | isCurious(X) :- attendsASP(X).
attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).

$U_P = \{john, mary\}$

$B_P = \{isInterestedinASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),$
 $isCurious(john), isCurious(mary),$
 $attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary)\}$

Formal Semantics: Program Instantiation

Herbrand Universe (U_P): Set of constants occurring in program P

Herbrand Base (B_P): Set of ground atoms constructible from U_P , $pred(P)$

Ground instance of a Rule: Replace each variable in r by constants in U_P

Instantiation ground(P): Set of all ground instances of the rules of P

Example (Ground Instantiation)

Consider:

isInterestedinASP(X) | isCurious(X) :- attendsASP(X).
attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).

Instantiation:

isInterestedinASP(john) | isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).
isInterestedinASP(mary) | isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).
attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).

→A non-ground program is a shorthand for its instantiation!←

Semantics for Positive Programs (1)

Assumptions:

- 1 programs are ground (obtained from the instantiation)
- 2 programs are positive, i.e. no negation

Interpretation: A set of atoms $I \subseteq B_P$ of P

- an atom q is true in I if q belongs to I ; otherwise it is false
- a literal $\text{not } q$ is true w.r.t. I if q is false in I ; otherwise it is false
- the head $H(r)$ of a rule r is true w.r.t. I if some literal in $H(r)$ is true w.r.t. I .
- the body $B(r)$ of a rule r is true w.r.t. I if all literals in $B(r)$ are true w.r.t. I .

Semantics for Positive Programs (1)

Assumptions:

- 1 programs are ground (obtained from the instantiation)
- 2 programs are positive, i.e. no negation

Interpretation: A set of atoms $I \subseteq B_P$ of P

- an atom q is true in I if q belongs to I ; otherwise it is false
- a literal $\text{not } q$ is true w.r.t. I if q is false in I ; otherwise it is false
- the head $H(r)$ of a rule r is true w.r.t. I if some literal in $H(r)$ is true w.r.t. I .
- the body $B(r)$ of a rule r is true w.r.t. I if all literals in $B(r)$ are true w.r.t. I .

Semantics for Positive Programs (2)

Model:

- I is a *model* of P if, for every rule r in P , the head of r is true in I , whenever the body of r is true in I

Answer Set: (Positive Program)

- I is an *answer set* for a positive program P if it is a minimal model (w.r.t. set inclusion) for P
→ bodies of constraints must be false

Semantics for Positive Programs (2)

Model:

- I is a *model* of P if, for every rule r in P , the head of r is true in I , whenever the body of r is true in I

Answer Set: (Positive Program)

- I is an *answer set* for a positive program P if it is a minimal model (w.r.t. set inclusion) for P
→ bodies of constraints must be false

Semantics for Positive Programs (2)

Model:

- I is a *model* of P if, for every rule r in P , the head of r is true in I , whenever the body of r is true in I

Answer Set: (Positive Program)

- I is an *answer set* for a positive program P if it is a minimal model (w.r.t. set inclusion) for P
→ bodies of constraints must be false

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (1)

$isInterestedinASP(john) \mid isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary) \mid isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).$
 $attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).$

$I_1 = \{ attendsASP(john) \}$

$I_2 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_3 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_4 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_5 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_6 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (1)

$isInterestedinASP(john) \mid isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary) \mid isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).$
 $attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).$

$I_1 = \{ attendsASP(john) \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_3 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_4 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_5 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_6 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (1)

$isInterestedinASP(john) \mid isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary) \mid isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).$
 $attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).$

$I_1 = \{ attendsASP(john) \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),$
 $isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$ (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_4 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john),$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_5 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_6 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (1)

$isInterestedinASP(john) \mid isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary) \mid isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).$
 $attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).$

$I_1 = \{ attendsASP(john) \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$ (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_5 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

$I_6 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (1)

$isInterestedinASP(john) \mid isCurious(john) :- attendsASP(john).$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary) \mid isCurious(mary) :- attendsASP(mary).$
 $attendsASP(john). attendsASP(mary).$

$I_1 = \{ attendsASP(john) \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),$
 $isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$ (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john),$
 $isInterestedinASP(mary), attendsASP(mary) \}$ (answer set)

$I_5 = \{ isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$ (answer set)

$I_6 = \{ isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),$
 $attendsASP(mary) \}$ (answer set)

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$
 (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$
 (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$
 (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$
 (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$

Example of Answer Set for a positive program (2)

Let's add:

$\text{:- isNotCASP(john), isInterestedinASP(john).}$
 isNotCASP(john).

(same interpretations as before + isNotCASP(john).)

$I_1 = \{ \text{attendsASP(john), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_2 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isCurious(mary), attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$
 (model, not minimal)

$I_3 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isInterestedinASP(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_4 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), attendsASP(mary),}$
 $\text{isInterestedinASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

$I_5 = \{ \text{isCurious(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (answer set)

$I_6 = \{ \text{isInterestedinASP(john), attendsASP(john), isCurious(mary),}$
 $\text{attendsASP(mary), isNotCASP(john)} \}$ (not a model)

Semantics for Programs with Negation

Consider *general* programs with negation

Reduct: The *Gelfond-Lifschitz reduct* of a program P w.r.t. an interpretation I is the positive program P^I obtained from P by:

- deleting all rules with a negative literal false in I ;
- deleting the negative literals from the bodies of the remaining rules.

Answer Set (or Stable Model): An *answer set* of a general program P^I is an interpretation I such that I is an answer set of P^I .

Example 1

Example (Reduct)

Program:

$a :- d, \text{not } b.$

$b :- \text{not } d.$

$d.$

Consider: $I = \{a, d\}$

Reduct:

$a :- d.$

$d.$

$\rightarrow I$ is an answer set of P^I and therefore is an answer set of P .

Example 2

Example

Program:

$a \mid b.$

Answer Sets: $\{a\}, \{b\}$

Example 3

Example

Program:

$a \mid b.$

$c :- b.$

$c :- a.$

Answer Sets: $\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$

Example 4

Example

Program:

$a \mid b.$

$a :- b.$

$b :- a.$

Answer Sets: $\{a, b\}$

Example 5

Example

Program:

$a \mid b.$

$\text{:- } b.$

Answer Set: $\{a\}$

Support and Answer Sets

Property:

Let A be an answer set of a program P , for all $a \in A$ there exist a rule r such that:

- a is the only true atom in the head of r
- all literals in the body of r are true

Support and Answer Sets

Unfounded Set:

A set of ground atoms X is an unfounded set w.r.t. interpretation I if for each $a \in X$, for each rule r s.t. $a \in H(r)$, one of the following conditions hold

- 1 the body of r is false, or
- 2 some literal in the positive body belongs to X
- 3 an atom in the head of r , distinct from a and other elements in X , is true w.r.t. M .

Property:

Answer sets are unfounded-free interpretations, i.e., no subset is unfounded.

Support and Answer Sets

Unfounded Set:

A set of ground atoms X is an unfounded set w.r.t. interpretation I if for each $a \in X$, for each rule r s.t. $a \in H(r)$, one of the following conditions hold

- 1 the body of r is false, or
- 2 some literal in the positive body belongs to X
- 3 an atom in the head of r , distinct from a and other elements in X , is true w.r.t. M .

Property:

Answer sets are unfounded-free interpretations, i.e., no subset is unfounded.

**Thanks to Francesco Ricca for a preliminary
version of these slides**